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## SURVEY OF THE LABOR MARKET FOR NEW PH.D. HIRES IN ECONOMICS

2002-03

This year, the survey questionnaire was sent to $\mathbf{3 6 4}$ organizations. Questionnaires were returned by $157(43.1 \%)$ for a response rate that was higher than the 2001-02-survey response rate 37.4 percent. Of this year's responses, 94 ( 59.9 percent) were from among those who responded to last year's survey; 63 (40.1 percent) came from new respondents. Among the academic institutions responding, the distribution of highest degrees offered was as follows: Ph.D.- 42.0 percent; Master- 10.8 percent; Bachelor- 33.8 percent. The remaining 13.4 percent did not indicate their highest degree offered. One of the respondents was a non-academic organization.

The responses are reported for all respondents (including the non-academic institutions and schools that did not report "highest degree offered"), and separately for Ph.D. degree-granting institutions and for schools whose highest degree offered is the Bachelor or Master degree. Data for institutions in the National Research Council's Research Doctorate Report, 1995, are reported as a subset of Ph.D. degree-granting schools. They are referred to as the Top 30.

## I. Outcomes of the Labor Market for New Ph.D.s in 2001-02.

Fifty-eight departments reported 399 new Ph.D.s who sought employment for the 2001-02 academic year. Of these job seekers, 360 ( 90.2 percent) were successful. Within the reported supply, 156 ( 39.1 percent) were from 15 of the Top 30 departments responding to the survey. Among the successful job seekers, 55.3 percent found employment in academic institutions as compared to 56.0 percent in the 2000-2001 year.

Of the 157 responding institutions, 87 reported hiring a total of 148 new Ph.D.s for the 2001-02 academic year. Table 1 shows the number hired by each of the 87 hiring institutions. As seen in Table 2, 36 ( 24.3 percent) of the new hires had specialties in macro/monetary economics. The next greatest concentration of hires occurred in microeconomics, 16 (10.8 percent). International and public economics followed, each with 14 ( 8.9 percent). Table 3 shows the degree-granting institutions of the new Ph.D.s hired for 2001-02.

2001-02 Salary Offers-Expected vs. Actual. Respondents to the survey conducted in Fall 2000 reported a mean expected salary offer of $\$ 64,264$ for academic year 2001-02. Respondents to the current survey report a mean actual salary for the 2001-02 academic year of $\$ 64,088$ or 0.3 percent below what was expected. As seen in Panel A of Table 4, the difference between actual and expected salary offers ranged from an over-estimation of 4.4 percent for the Top 30 schools to an under-estimation of 0.5 percent for all $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{D}$. granting institutions. These differences may, to some degree, be a result of compositional differences between the two samples. See Figure 1 for salary distributions.

Table 1
New Ph.D.s Hired for 2001-02 By Hiring Institution ${ }^{1}$

| Federal Reserve Board | 11 | Boston College | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colgate University | 4 | Bowling Green State University | 1 |
| California State University-Sacramento | 3 | California State University-Long Beach | 1 |
| Cornell University | 3 | Case Western Reserve University | 1 |
| Duke University | 3 | College of Charleston | 1 |
| Franklin and Marshall College | 3 | Columbia University | 1 |
| Georgia State University | 3 | Eastern Washington University | 1 |
| Princeton University | 3 | George Washington University | 1 |
| Texas A\&M University | 3 | Gettysburg College | 1 |
| University of California-Santa Cruz | 3 | Hartwick College | 1 |
| University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill | 3 | Illinois State University | 1 |
| University of Toronto | 3 | Lake Forest University | 1 |
| Claremont McKenna College | 2 | Lamar University | 1 |
| College of William and Mary | 2 | Louisiana State University | 1 |
| Cornell University | 2 | Massachusetts Institute of Technology | 1 |
| DePauw University | 2 | North Carolina State University | 1 |
| East Carolina University | 2 | Oakland University | 1 |
| Florida State University | 2 | Oberlin College | 1 |
| Indiana University | 2 | Queens College | 1 |
| Lehigh University | 2 | Queens University | 1 |
| Miami University (Ohio) | 2 | Randolph-Macon Woman's College | 1 |
| Mississippi State University | 2 | Rice University | 1 |
| New York University | 2 | State University of New York-Stony Brook | 1 |
| Ohio State University | 2 | The American University | 1 |
| Oregon State University | 2 | Tulane University | 1 |
| State University of New York-Albany | 2 | University of Arkansas | 1 |
| State University of West Georgia | 2 | University of California-Davis | 1 |
| Trinity University | 2 | University of California-Santa Barbara | 1 |
| Union College | 2 | University of Houston | 1 |
| University of British Columbia | 2 | University of Iowa | 1 |
| University of California-Irvine | 2 | University of Kentucky | 1 |
| University of Colorado | 2 | University of Maryland-College Park | 1 |
| University of Illinois-Urbana | 2 | University of Massachusetts Boston | 1 |
| University of Kansas | 2 | University of Michigan-Dearborn | 1 |
| University of Minnesota-Morris | 2 | University of Mississippi | 1 |
| University of Montreal | 2 | University of Missouri-Columbia | 1 |
| University of North Carolina-Greensboro | 2 | University of Pittsburgh | 1 |
| University of Virginia | 2 | University of Western Ontario | 1 |
| University of Wisconsin-Madison | 2 | University of Wisconsin-La Crosse | 1 |
| William Paterson University | 2 | Ursinus College | 1 |
| Amherst College | 1 | Vanderbilt University | 1 |
| Arkansas State University | 1 | Washington State University | 1 |
| Augustana College-Illinois | 1 | Wesleyan University | 1 |
| Bates College | 1 |  |  |

[^1]Table 2

## New Ph.D.s Hired for 2001-02 By Type of Hiring Institution and Field of Specialization

|  | Ph.D. Granting <br> Institution | Top 30 | Bachelor \& Master <br> Degree Granting <br> Institutions | Other | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math \& Quant. Methods | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Microeconomics | 8 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 16 |
| Macro/Monetary | 19 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 36 |
| International | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 14 |
| Financial Economics | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Public Economics | 10 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 14 |
| Health, Education, \& Welfare | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Labor \& Demographic | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 12 |
| Game Theory | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Industrial Organization | 7 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 13 |
| Managerial Economics | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Economic Development | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Environmental Economics | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Economic History | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Institutional Economics | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Law \& Economics | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Political Economics | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Resource Economics | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Transportation Economics | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Urban \& Regional Economics | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 80 | 19 | 48 | 20 | 148 |

[^2]Table 3
Degree-Granting Institution of New Ph.D.s Hired for 2001-2002

| Stanford | 9 | California-Santa Barbara | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Northwestern | 7 | Carnegie Mellon | 1 |
| Penn | 6 | Cincinnati | 1 |
| Chicago | 5 | Cornell | 1 |
| Indiana | 5 | Dalhousie | 1 |
| Minnesota | 5 | Duke | 1 |
| NYU | 5 | George Mason | 1 |
| California-Berkeley | 4 | Illinois | 1 |
| Princeton | 4 | Iowa State | 1 |
| Rochester | 4 | Italy | 1 |
| Washington University-St. Louis | 4 | Kansas State | 1 |
| Harvard | 3 | Katholieke Universiteit Lueven | 1 |
| Iowa | 3 | LSE | 1 |
| Massachusetts | 3 | Maastricht | 1 |
| MIT | 3 | Melbourne | 1 |
| North Carolina | 3 | Michigan | 1 |
| Wisconsin-Madison | 3 | Mississippi | 1 |
| Yale | 3 | New Mexico | 1 |
| Barcelona | 2 | Not Reported | 1 |
| Colorado State | 2 | Notre Dame | 1 |
| Columbia | 2 | Ohio State | 1 |
| Florida | 2 | Oregon | 1 |
| Georgia State | 2 | Oregon State | 1 |
| Johns Hopkins | 2 | Oslo | 1 |
| Maryland | 2 | Queens University | 1 |
| Michigan State | 2 | Rice | 1 |
| Penn State | 2 | SUNY-Binghamton | 1 |
| Texas | 2 | Tel Aviv University | 1 |
| Texas A\&M | 2 | Tennessee | 1 |
| Virginia | 2 | Toronto | 1 |
| Washington | 2 | UCLA | 1 |
| Arizona | 1 | Utah | 1 |
| Bologna | 1 | Virginia Polytechnic Institute | 1 |
| Boston College | 1 | Washington State | 1 |
| Brown | 1 | Wayne State | 1 |
| California-Davis | 1 | Western Ontario | 1 |
| California-San Diego | 1 | Wisconsin-Milwaukee | 1 |
|  |  |  | 1 |

Panel B shows the mean expected offer for 2001-02, as reported in the survey conducted in Fall 2000, and the actual offer, as reported in the current survey, for 37 institutions that responded to both surveys. All doctoral degree-granting programs made actual offers 1.9 percent above what was expected, Top 30 institutions made actual offers 2.0 percent above what was expected and the actual offers of Master and Bachelor degree-granting schools was 5.1 percent more than expected. For all 37 respondents, the actual offer was 2.7 percent above the expected offer. The median difference between actual and expected offers was 1.5 percent, while the range was from -5.0 percent to 25.0 percent. See Figure 2 for salary distributions.

## II. Demand and Supply of New Ph.D.s for 2002-03

Eighty-four of the institutions responding to the current survey are expecting to hire 185.5 new Ph.D.s for the 2002-03 academic year. The greatest demand is for macro/monetary economics and microeconomics at 34 ( 18.3 percent) and 29 ( 15.6 percent), respectively. International, math and quantitative methods, financial, industrial organization, and public economics follow with 18 ( 9.7 percent), 16 ( 8.6 percent), 10 ( 5.4 percent), 9 ( 4.9 percent), and 8 ( 4.3 percent). See Tables 5 and 6.

The most common reason reported for not hiring for the 2002-03 academic year was lack of a vacancy ( 70.6 percent).

Sixty-nine of the Ph.D. degree-granting institutions responding to the survey report that they will have a total of 436 new Ph.D.s seeking employment for the 2002-03 academic year. About 6.7 percent of the job seekers are holdovers from the 2001-02 market. Top 30 schools account for 40.8 percent of the total reported supply. Table 7 shows the supply of new Ph.D.s by field of specialization and type of degree-granting institution. Job seekers with specialties in macro/ monetary economics ( 16.1 percent) constitute the greatest share of the supply followed by international (11.2 percent), industrial organization (10.3 percent), labor and demographic ( 9.4 percent) and math and quantitative models ( 9.2 percent).

Table 4

## Expected and Actual Offers for the 2001-02 Academic Year

|  | All Ph.D. <br> Granting <br> Institutions | N | Top 30 | N | Bachelor \& Master <br> Degree Granting <br> Institutions | N | All <br> Respondents | N <br> Panel A: Complete results of Fall 2001 survey compared with complete results of Fall 2000 survey. <br> (Expected Hires=123; Actual Hires=145) <br> Mean Actual <br> Offer (2001 <br> Survey) <br> $\$ 66,949$ | 53 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 5
Supply of and Demand for New Ph.D.s by Respondents For the 2002-2003 Academic Year

| Field of Specialization | Demand for <br> 2002-2003 | Percent of <br> Demand | Supply for <br> 2002-2003 | Percent of <br> Supply |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1. General Economics | 4 | $2.2 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2. Method and History of <br> Thought | 1 | $0.5 \%$ |  |  |
| 3. Math. \& Quant. Methods | 16 | $8.6 \%$ | 5 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 4. Microeconomics | 29 | $15.6 \%$ | 37 | $9.0 \%$ |
| 5. Macro/Monetary | 34 | $18.3 \%$ | 72 | $16.3 \%$ |
| 6. International | 18 | $9.7 \%$ | 49 | $11.0 \%$ |
| 7. Financial Economics | 10 | $5.4 \%$ | 27 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 8. Public Economics | 8 | $4.3 \%$ | 36 | $8.1 \%$ |
| 9. Health, Education, \& Welfare | 2 | $1.1 \%$ | 7 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 10. Labor \& Demographic | 3.5 | $1.9 \%$ | 41 | $9.2 \%$ |
| 11. Law \& Economics | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 12. Industrial Organization | 9 | $4.9 \%$ | 45 | $10.1 \%$ |
| 13. Business Administration | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 14. Economic History | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 7 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 15. Economic Development | 2 | $1.1 \%$ | 19 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 16. Economic Systems | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 17. Agri. \& Natural Resource | 4 | $2.2 \%$ | 19 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 18. Urban, Rural, \& Regional | 1 | $0.5 \%$ | 2 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 19. Other Special Topics | 8 | $4.3 \%$ | 18 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 36 | $19.4 \%$ | 19 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Total | 185.5 | $100.0 \%$ | 444 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 6
Expected Hires for 2002-03 by Type of Institution and Field of Specialization

|  | Ph.D. <br> Degree <br> Granting <br> Institutions | Top 30 | Bachelor \& Master Degree Granting Institutions | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. General Economics | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 2. Method \& History of Thought | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3. Math. \& Quant. Methods | 12 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 15 |
| 4. Microeconomics | 19 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 29 |
| 5. Macro/Monetary | 17 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 34 |
| 6. International | 10 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 17 |
| 7. Financial Economics | 3 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 10 |
| 8. Public Economics | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 |
| 9. Health, Education, \& Welfare | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 10. Labor \& Demographic | 2.5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3.5 |
| 11. Law \& Economics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12. Industrial Organization | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 |
| 13. Business Admin. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14. Economic History | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15. Economic Development | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16. Economic Systems | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17. Agri. \& Natural Resource | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 18. Urban, Rural, \& Regional | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 19. Other Special Topics | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 8 |
| Not Reported | 34 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 31 |
| Total | 116.5 | 44 | 49 | 20 | 185.5 |

Table 7
New Ph.D.s Seeking Employment for 2002-03 By Type of Degree-Granting Institution and Field of Specialization ${ }^{3}$

|  | Top 30 | Other Ph.D. <br> Degree <br> Granting <br> Institutions | Total | Percent of <br> Supply |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. General Economics | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2. Method \& History of Thought | 1 | 4 | 5 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 3. Math. \& Quant. Methods | 16 | 24 | 40 | $9.2 \%$ |
| 4. Microeconomics | 17 | 20 | 37 | $8.5 \%$ |
| 5. Macro/Monetary | 35 | 35 | 70 | $16.1 \%$ |
| 6. International | 18 | 31 | 49 | $11.2 \%$ |
| 7. Financial Economics | 18 | 9 | 27 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 8. Public Economics | 7 | 29 | 36 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 9. Health, Education, \& Welfare | 2 | 3 | 5 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 10. Labor \& Demographic | 15 | 26 | 41 | $9.4 \%$ |
| 11. Law \& Economics | 0 | 1 | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 12. Industrial Organization | 18 | 27 | 45 | $10.3 \%$ |
| 13. Business Admin. | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 14. Economic History | 0 | 6 | 6 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 15. Economic Development | 7 | 12 | 19 | $4.4 \%$ |
| 16. Economic Systems | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 17. Agri. \& Natural Resource | 1 | 16 | 17 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 18. Urban, Rural, \& Regional | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0.2 \%$ |
| 19. Other Special Topics | 5 | 13 | 18 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 17 | 2 | 19 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Total | 178 | 258 | 436 | $100.0 \%$ |

${ }^{3}$ Number of institutions responding, 69 ; number of Top 30 institutions responding, 15.

## III. Salary, Research, and Other Financial Support

Expected Salary Offer for 2002-03. Responses from 90 institutions indicate that the average expected salary offer for the 2002-03 academic year will be $\$ 64,104,2.3$ percent over the actual offer for the 2001-02 academic year for the same sample of institutions. The average expected offer by Ph.D. degree-granting institutions, $\$ 68,912$, is 2.9 percent above the 2001-02 offer. The Top 30 institutions in the sample report an average expected offer of $\$ 73,885$, which is 1.4 percent above the 2001-02 offer. Bachelor and Master degree-granting institutions report and expected offer of $\$ 56,677$, a 1.3 percent increase over the 2001-02 offer.

For Ph.D. degree-granting institutions 67.9 percent of expected offers are in the $\$ 65,001$ to $\$ 75,000$ range; while for institutions offering Bachelor and Master degrees, 64.5 percent of expected offers are in the $\$ 50,001$ to $\$ 60,000$ range.

Figures 3 through 6 present salary data for both 2001-02 and 2002-03 for Ph.D. degree-granting institution, Top 30 institutions, Bachelor and Master degree-granting institutions, and all hiring institutions, respectively.

Research Support. For instructors or assistant professors hired for the 2001-02 academic year, summer support was available more often from Ph.D. degree-granting institutions than from others ( 84.9 percent vs. 40.6 percent). The average percentage of nine-month salary offers (17.2 percent vs. 9.0 percent) was also higher for Ph.D. degree-granting institutions, but the average number of summers of support ( 2.2 vs . 2.7) was higher for Bachelor and Master degree-granting institutions. The purchase of a personal computer is offered by 92.2 percent of Ph.D. granting institutions, and is offered by 75 percent of other departments. The average teaching load is lower in Ph.D. degree-granting institutions compared to non-Ph.D. degree-granting institutions ( 3.6 vs. 5.3 semester courses per year). New faculty members are more likely to get a teaching load reduction in Ph.D. degree-granting institutions compared to non-Ph.D. degree-granting institutions ( 71.4 percent vs. 44.8 percent).

Other Support. Moving expenses are paid by 82.6 percent of all respondents, but housing allowances are offered by only 16.7 percent of respondents.

Of the institutions responding, 83.8 percent offer the TIAA-CREF retirement plan, with the average required contribution (as a percent of the faculty member's salary) of 8.1 percent by the employer and 4.8 percent by the employee. Full vesting at the time of hire occurs 51.5 percent of the time. When vesting does not occur at the time of hire, full vesting occurs after and average wait of 3.3 years. No-cost life insurance, with an average fact value of $\$ 44,418$, is offered by 75.4 percent of the employers.

The tenure clock is stopped for maternity by 80.4 percent of the respondents. For 66.3 percent, it is a formal policy. A higher percentage of Ph.D. degree-granting institutions stop the tenure clock than do Bachelor and Master degree-granting institutions ( 90.3 percent vs. 72.3 percent).

Figure 1
Expected and Actual Salary Offers for 2001-02
All Respondents
Fall 2000 Expected Mean=\$64,329


Figure 2
Expected and Actual Salary Offers for 2001-02
Respondents to Both Fall 2000 and Fall 2001 Surveys
Fall 2000 Expected Mean=\$65,408
Fall 2001 Actual Mean=\$67,201

$\square$ Expected $\square$ Actual

Figure 3
Actual Salary Offers for 2001-02 and Expected Offers for 2002-03
All Ph.D. Granting Institutions
Mean Actual 2001-02=\$66,949
Mean Expected 2002-03=\$68,912

$\square$ 2001-02 Actual Offers 2002-03 Expected Offers

Figure 4
Actual Salary Offers for 2001-02 and Expected Salary Offers for 2002-03
Top 30 Institutions
Mean Actual 2001-2002=\$72,836
Mean Expected 2002-03=\$73,885


Figure 5
Actual Salary Offers for 2001-02 and Expected Salary Offers for 2002-03
Bachelor and Master Degree-Granting Institutions
Mean Actual 2001-02=\$55,958
Mean Expected 2002-03=\$56,677

$\square$ Actual 2001-02 Offers $\square$ Expected 2002-03 Offers

Figure 6
Actual Salary Offers for 2001-02 and Expected Salary Offers for 2002-03
All Hiring Institutions
Mean Actual 2001-02=\$62,680
Mean Expected 2002-03=\$64,104


## IV. Outcomes of the Labor Market for Senior Level Economists in 2001-02

In addition to the information gathered about the hiring of new Ph.D.s, the survey questionnaire includes questions about the senior economist job market. From the respondents, a total of 53 senior economists were hired in the 2001-02 academic year, 14 senior assistant professors, 15 associate professors, and 24 full professors. Of the associate professors hired, $60.0 \%$ were hired with tenure. Of all the senior level economists, four were hired to fill administrative positions and seven were hired to fill endowed chairs.

2001-02 Senior Assistant Professor Salary Offers—Expected vs. Actual. Respondents to the survey conducted in Fall 2000 reported a mean expected senior assistant professor salary offer of $\$ 72,750$ for the academic year 2001-02. Respondents to the current survey report a mean actual senior assistant professor salary of $\$ 70,723$ or 2.8 percent less than what was expected. As seen in Panel A of Table 8, the difference between actual and expected senior assistant professor salary offers ranged from an over-estimation of 14.7 percent for Master and Bachelor degree-granting institutions to an under-estimation of 23.3 percent for Top 30 schools. These differences, to some degree, may be the result of compositional differences between the two samples.

Panel B of Table 8 shows the mean expected senior assistant professor offer for 2001-02, as reported in the survey conducted in Fall 2000, and the mean actual senior assistant professor offer, as reported in the current survey, for 94 institutions that responded to both surveys. All doctoral degree-granting institutions made actual offers 3.0 percent below what was expected, but the mean average actual offer of Master and Bachelor degree-granting institutions was 2.6 more than expected. For all respondents, the actual senior assistant average offer was 3.3 percent above the average expected offer.

2001-02 Associate and Full Professor Salary Offers-Expected vs. Actual. Respondents to the survey conducted in Fall 2000 reported a mean expected associate and full professor salary offer of $\$ 112,500$ for the academic year 2001-02. Respondents to the current survey report a mean actual associate and full professor salary of $\$ 112,500$ or 0.1 percent more than what was expected. As seen in Panel A of Table 9, the difference between actual and expected associate and full professor salary offers ranged from an over-estimation of 2.3 percent for all $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{D}$. degree-granting institutions to an under-estimation of 18.8 percent for Master and Bachelor degree-granting schools.

Panel B of Table 9 shows the mean expected associate and full professor offer for 2001-02, as reported in the survey conducted in Fall 2000, and the mean actual associate and full professor offer, as reported in the current survey for 94 institutions that responded to both surveys. All doctoral degree-granting institutions made actual offers 5.2 percent above what was expected, but the mean average actual offer of Master and Bachelor degree-granting institutions was 2.0 percent less than expected. For all respondents, the actual associate and full professor average offer was 8.2 percent above the average expected offer.

Table 8
Expected and Actual Offers for Senior Assistant Professors for the 2001-02 Academic Year

|  | All Ph.D. <br> Granting <br> Institutions | N | Top 30 | N |  <br> Master Degree <br> Granting <br> Institutions | N | All <br> Respondents | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Panel A: Complete results of Fall 2001 survey compared with complete results of Fall 2000 survey. (Expected Hires=13; Actual Hires =14)

| Mean Actual <br> Offer (2001 <br> Survey) | $\$ 86,700$ | 5 | $\$ 96,167$ | 3 | $\$ 49,875$ | 5 | $\$ 70,723$ | 1 <br> 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean <br> Expected <br> Offer (2000 <br> Survey) | $\$ 76,500$ | 4 | $\$ 78,000$ | 1 | $\$ 58,500$ | 2 | $\$ 72,750$ | 8 |
| Actual Less <br> Expected | $\$ 10,200$ |  | $\$ 18,167$ |  | $(\$ 8,625)$ |  | $(\$ 2,027)$ |  |
| Percent <br> Difference | $13.3 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ |  | $-14.7 \%$ |  | $-2.8 \%$ |  |  |

Panel B: 93 respondents to the Fall 2000 survey who also gave complete responses to the Fall 2001 survey. (Expected Hires=13; Actual Hires 7)

| Mean Actual <br> Offer (2001 <br> Survey) | $\$ 75,000$ | 3 |  | 0 | $\$ 60,000$ | 1 | $\$ 75,000$ | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean <br> Expected <br> Offer (2000 <br> Survey) | $\$ 77,333$ | 4 | $\$ 78,000$ | 1 | $\$ 58,500$ | 2 | $\$ 72,571$ | 7 |
| Actual Less <br> Expected | $(\$ 2,333)$ |  |  |  | $\$ 1,500$ |  | $\$ 2,429$ |  |
| Percent <br> Difference | $-3.0 \%$ |  |  |  | $2.6 \%$ |  | $3.3 \%$ |  |

Table 9
Expected and Actual Offers for Associate and Full Professors for the 2001-02 Academic Year

|  | All Ph.D. Granting Institutions | N | Top 30 | N | Bachelor \& Master Degree Granting Institutions | N | All Respondents | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panel A: Complete results of Fall 2001 survey compared with complete results of Fall 2000 survey. (Expected Hires=73; Actual Hires=39) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Actual <br> Offer (2001 <br> Survey) | \$119,677 | 19 | \$121,000 | 7 | \$72,083 | 10 | \$112,579 | 30 |
| Mean <br> Expected <br> Offer (2000 <br> Survey) | \$116,966 | 49 | \$124,286 | 13 | \$88,750 | 8 | \$112,500 | 58 |
| Actual Less Expected | \$2,712 |  | $(\$ 3,286)$ |  | (\$16,667) |  | \$79 |  |
| Percent Difference | 2.3\% |  | -2.6\% |  | -18.8\% |  | 0.1\% |  |
| Panel B: 93 respondents to the Fall 2000 survey who also gave complete responses to the Fall 2001 survey (Expected Hires=59; Actual Hires=49) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Actual Offer (2001 Survey) | \$122,762 | 25 | \$121,000 | 10 | \$80,000 | 2 | \$120,246 | 27 |
| Mean <br> Expected <br> Offer (2000 <br> Survey) | \$116,682 | 29 | \$118,000 | 9 | \$81,667 | 6 | \$111,154 | 36 |
| Actual Less Expected | \$6,080 |  | \$3,000 |  | (\$1,667) |  | \$9,093 |  |
| Percent Difference | 5.2\% |  | 2.5\% |  | -2.0\% |  | 8.2\% |  |

## V. Results of the Senior Economists Market for the 2001-02 Academic Year and the Expected Demand for the 2002-03 Academic Year

The average salary paid for senior assistant professors in 2001-02 was $\$ 70,273$, which is $9.7 \%$ higher than the mean salary paid to new assistant professors. For associate professors with and without tenure, the average salary offers were $\$ 84,018$ and $\$ 64,000$, respectively. Full professors were offered $\$ 132,421$ on average. Ph.D. degree-granting institutions offered, for the 2001-02 academic year, senior assistant professors $\$ 86,700$, associate professors with tenure $\$ 84,018$, and full professors $\$ 146,000$.

Sixty-six senior economists are expected to be hired by all institutions in the academic year 2002-03. Of this number, 54 are expected to be hired by Ph.D. degree-granting institutions. Out of the expected hires, 23 are expected to fill endowed chairs, while only four are being hired for administrative positions. The average expected salary in the 2002-03 for senior assistant professors is $\$ 68,375$, for associate professors, $\$ 89,750$, and for full professors, $\$ 129,015$. Ph.D. degree-granting institutions are expecting to pay $\$ 71,750$ for senior assistant professors, $\$ 96,643$ for associate professors, and $\$ 134,335$ for full professors.

## Summary of Findings

## Explanatory Notes

1. The response rate varies by question. The number responding to a given question is reported, where appropriate as "Number Responding" or " $\mathrm{N}=$ ".
2. Twelve-month salary data were converted to nine-month equivalents. Non-USA salaries are expressed in U.S. dollars at the early-November exchange rate for the relevant country.
3. The Journal of Economic Literature subject index was used to classify areas of specialization. When combined fields of specialization were cited (e.g., micro/industrial organization/labor), only the first specified field was counted.

|  |  |  | Bachelor \& | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ph.D. |  | Master | (Including |
|  | Degree- |  | Degree- | Non- |
|  | Granting | Top 30 | Granting |  |
|  | Institutions | Institutions | Institutions | Unclassified) |

## Distribution of Respondent Institutions by Highest Degree Offered:

| Number of <br> Questionnaires Returned | 66 | 15 | 70 | 157 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## I. Hiring and Compensation in the Market for New Ph.D.s in the Labor Market for 2002-03

Q1. How many Ph.D. candidates did you hire for appointment in the 2001-02 academic year?

| New Hires for 2001-02 | 82 | 21 | 47 | 148 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N Hiring $=$ | 49 | 12 | 31 | 87 |
| N Not Hiring $=$ | 17 | 3 | 39 | 70 |

See Table 1 for distribution of hires by hiring institution.

Q2. Breakdown by institution of origin and primary field of specialization.
See Table 2 for distribution of new hires by primary field of specialization. See Table 3 for distribution of degree-granting institutions of new hires.

|  |  |  | Bachelor \& | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ph.D. |  | Master | (Including |
|  | Degree- |  | Degree- | Non- |
|  | Granting | Top 30 | Granting |  |
|  | Institutions | Institutions | Institutions | Unclassified) |

Q3. For a new Ph.D. with degree-in-hand, what DID you offer as a 9-month salary for appointment in the 2001-02 academic year? If this varied across people, please give an average.

| No Response | 29 | 1 | 42 | 68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 40,000$ or less | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| $>\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 45,000$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| $>\$ 45,000$ to $\$ 50,000$ | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 |
| $>\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 55,000$ | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 |
| $>\$ 55,000$ to $\$ 60,000$ | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 |
| $>\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 65,000$ | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| $>\$ 65,000$ to $\$ 70,000$ | 21 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| $>\$ 70,000$ to $\$ 75,000$ | 17 | 10 | 0 | 17 |
| $>\$ 75,000$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| MEAN | $\$ 66,949$ | $\$ 72,836$ | $\$ 55,958$ | $\$ 62,680$ |
| STD DEV | $\$ 9,569$ | $\$ 3,894$ | $\$ 8,571$ | $\$ 11,415$ |
| MIN | $\$ 34,852$ | $\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 39,000$ | $\$ 34,852$ |
| MAX | $\$ 90,000$ | $\$ 85,000$ | $\$ 78,000$ | $\$ 90,000$ |

Also see Figures 1 through 6.
Q4. For new instructors or assistant professors hired for the 2001-02 academic year, did you offer summer research support?
a. Yes [] No [ ]

| Percent offering support | $84.9 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 53 | 14 | 32 | 92 |

b. If YES, for how many summers was support offered?

| Average No. of Summers | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 40 | 11 | 12 | 54 |


|  |  |  | Bachelor \& | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ph.D. |  | Master | (Including |
|  | Degree- |  | Degree- | Non- |
|  | Granting | Top 30 | Granting |  |
|  | Institutions | Institutions | Institutions | Unclassified) |

c. For any summer research support, what percentage of the academic year salary was offered?

| As a percent of 9 months | $17.2 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 42 | 13 | 13 | 57 |

Q5. For new instructors or assistant professors hired for the 2001-02 academic year, did you offer:
a. Moving expenses to your university?

| Percent"Yes" | $88.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $78.1 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 53 | 14 | 32 | 74 |
| Mean Amount | $\$ 3,298$ | $\$ 3,432$ | $\$ 2,211$ | $\$ 3,138$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 41 | 11 | 23 | 67 |

b. Purchase of a personal computer?

| Percent"Yes" | $92.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 51 | 14 | 32 | 72 |

b.

|  |  |  | Bachelor \& | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ph.D. |  | Master | (Including |
|  | Degree- |  | Degree- | Non- |
|  | Granting | Top 30 | Granting |  |
|  | Institutions | Institutions | Institutions | Unclassified) |

Q7. What percentage of the new instructor or assistant professor salary is required as a contribution to your university's pension plan by:
a. The university or institution:

| Percent "Yes" | 8.2 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 54 | 11 | 58 | 123 |

b. The new employee:

| Percent "Yes" | 4.2 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 47 | 8 | 45 | 100 |

Q8. When does full vesting occur in this pension plan?
a. At time of hire [ ] or later?

| Percent at time of hire | $57.6 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $44.3 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 57 | 13 | 61 | 132 |

b. If later, when? $\qquad$ years.

| Mean years when later | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 25 | 9 | 34 | 64 |

Q9. Does your institution offer a term life insurance package at no cost to the new instructor or assistant professor?

| Percent "Yes" | $66.1 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $89.6 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 62 | 14 | 67 | 141 |

a. If YES, what is its face value?

| Mean Face Value | $\$ 44,600$ | $\$ 62,500$ | $\$ 48,033$ | $\$ 44,418$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 19 | 6 | 30 | 54 |


|  |  |  | Bachelor \& | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ph.D. |  | Master | (Including |
|  | Degree- |  | Degree- | Non- |
|  | Granting | Top 30 | Granting |  |
|  | Institutions | Institutions | Institutions | Unclassified) |

Q10. Does your institution stop the tenure clock for faculty members who want maternity leave for a semester or academic year?

| Percent "Yes" | $90.3 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $72.3 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 62 | 15 | 65 | 138 |

a. If YES, is this a formal policy or an informal policy?

| Percent Formal Policy | $69.6 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 46 | 13 | 38 | 89 |

Q11. What is the normal teaching load in total courses for the academic year (quarter system course-loads converted to semesters)?

| Mean Courses per Year | 3.6 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 4.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 64 | 15 | 67 | 143 |

a. Does your institution have a semester, quarter, or trimester system?

| Percent Semester System | $88.7 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $92.5 \%$ | $90.1 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent Quarter System | $9.7 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Percent Trimester System | $1.6 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 62 | 15 | 67 | 141 |

Q12. Does an incoming junior faculty member typically get any reduction from this normal load?

| Percent "Yes" | $71.4 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $44.8 \%$ | $54.2 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 62 | 15 | 67 | 141 |

a. Number of courses reduced?

| Mean Courses Reduced | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 45 | 12 | 30 | 77 |

b. For how many years?

| Mean Number of Years | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 54 | 12 | 29 | 84 |


|  |  |  | Bachelor \& | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ph.D. |  | Master | (Including |
|  | Degree- |  | Degree- | Non- |
|  | Granting | Top 30 | Granting |  |
| Item | Institutions | Institutions | Institutions | Unclassified) |

Q13. Is your economics department lodged within a business school or college of business?

| Percent"Yes" | $22.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 63 | 17 | 67 | 141 |

## II. Demand for New Ph.D.s for 2002-03

Q14. Please estimate the number of new Ph.D.s you expect to hire for the 2002-03 academic year.
a. Total expected new Ph.D. hires.

| Total Expected Hires | 116.5 | 44 | 49 | 185.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N Hiring | 44 | 14 | 34 | 84 |
| N Not Hiring | 12 | 1 | 32 | 55 |

b. Distribution of new Ph.D. hires by primary field of specialization.

See Table 6 for the distribution of expected hires by primary field of specialization.
Q15. For a new Ph.D. with degree-in-hand, what is the 9-month salary you EXPECT to offer for the 2002-03 academic year?

| No Response | 13 | 2 | 39 | 67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 40,000$ or less | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $>\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 45,000$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| $>\$ 45,000$ to $\$ 50,000$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| $>\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 55,000$ | 2 | 0 | 10 | 13 |
| $>\$ 55,000$ to $\$ 60,000$ | 3 | 0 | 10 | 13 |
| $>\$ 60,000$ to $\$ 65,000$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| $>\$ 65,000$ to $\$ 70,000$ | 12 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| $>\$ 70,000$ to $\$ 75,000$ | 24 | 9 | 1 | 25 |
| $>\$ 75,000$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| N | 53 | 13 | 31 | 90 |
| MEAN | $\$ 68,912$ | $\$ 73,885$ | $\$ 56,677$ | $\$ 64,104$ |
| STD DEV | $\$ 8,892$ | $\$ 3,380$ | $\$ 7,778$ | $\$ 10,888$ |
| MIN | $\$ 34,852$ | $\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 45,000$ | $\$ 34,852$ |
| MAX | $\$ 95,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ | $\$ 95,000$ |


|  |  |  | Bachelor \& | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ph.D. |  | Master | (Including |
|  | Degree- |  | Degree- | Non- |
|  | Granting | Top 30 | Granting |  |
|  | Institutions | Institutions | Institutions | Unclassified) |

Q16. If you are not hiring new Ph.D.s for the 2002-03 academic year, please indicate the primary reason why you are not hiring.

| No Vacant Positions | 4 |  | 27 | 36 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Problems | 3 |  | 6 | 10 |
| Falling Enrollments | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Seeking Senior Hires | 1 |  | 2 | 3 |
| Other | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |
| N | 10 |  | 35 | 51 |

Q17. What is the highest degree offered by your institution?

See Distribution of Respondent Institutions by Highest Degree Offered, above.

## III. Results of the 2001-02 New Ph.D. Market and Expected Supply for 2002-03.

Q18. How many candidates from your department sought employment for the 2001-02 academic year (or, for the year 2001)?

| Number of Job Seekers | 387 | 156 |  | 399 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From Number of Depts. | 56 | 15 |  | 58 |

Q19. Of the Ph.D. candidates from your department who sought employment for the 2001-02 academic year (or for 2001), how many actually found employment by August 31, 2001 ?

| Number | 349 | 139 |  | 360 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Percent of Job Seekers | $90.2 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ |  | $90.2 \%$ |
| From Number of Depts. | 56 | 15 |  | 58 |

Q20. What was the distribution of employment across academic and non-academic positions?

| Academic | $55.3 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ |  | $55.3 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Non-Academic | $44.4 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ |  | $44.4 \%$ |
| Not Reported | $0.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.3 \%$ |


|  |  |  | Bachelor \& | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ph.D. |  | Master | (Including |
|  | Degree- |  | Degree- | Non- |
|  | Granting | Top 30 | Granting |  |
|  | Institutions | Institutions | Institutions | Unclassified) |

Q21. Please estimate the number of Ph.D. candidates from your department who will be seeking employment for the 2002-03 academic year.

| Number | 439 | 178 |  | 448 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Q22. How many of the candidates listed above are holdovers from the 2001-02 market who could not get a permanent position?

| Number of Holdovers | 29 | 8 |  | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of Job Seekers | $6.6 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |  | $6.7 \%$ |

## IV. Results of the Senior Economists Market for the 2001-02 Academic Year and the

 Expected Demand for the 2002-03 Academic YearQ23. How many and what level senior economists did you hire for appointment for the 200102 academic year?

| Senior Asst. Professor | 5 | 3 | 5 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assoc. Prof. With Tenure | 9 | 4 | 0 | 9 |
| Assoc. Prof. No Tenure | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| Full Professor | 19 | 6 | 5 | 24 |
| Total | 33 | 13 | 15 | 53 |

Q24. How many of these hires filled administrative positions?

| Administrative Positions | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Q25. How many of these hires filled endowed chairs?

| Endowed Chairs | 6 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Q26. What DID you offer as a 9-month salary for appointment in the 2001-02 academic year?

| Senior Asst. Professor | $\$ 86,700$ | $\$ 140,000$ | $\$ 49,875$ | $\$ 70,273$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 5 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| Assoc. Prof. With Tenure | $\$ 84,018$ | $\$ 102,500$ | $\$ 57,500$ | $\$ 84,018$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 7 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| Assoc. Prof. No Tenure |  |  | $\$ 60,800$ | $\$ 64,000$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| Full Professor | $\$ 146,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 103,000$ | $\$ 132,421$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 13 | 3 | 6 | 19 |


|  |  |  | Bachelor \& | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ph.D. |  | Master | (Including |
|  | Degree- |  | Degree- | Non- |
|  | Granting | Top 30 | Granting |  |
|  | Institutions | Institutions | Institutions | Unclassified) |

Q27. Please estimate the number of senior assistant, associate, and full professors you expect to hire for the 2002-03 academic year.

| Senior Asst. Professor | 3 | 1 | 3 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 3 | 1 | 7 | 11 |
| Associate Professor | 14 | 5 | 4 | 18 |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 13 | 5 | 4 | 17 |
| Full Professor | 37 | 18 | 1 | 39 |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 21 | 8 | 1 | 23 |

Q28. How many of these hires are intended to fill administrative positions?

| Administrative Positions | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Q29. How many of these hires are intended to fill endowed chairs?

| Endowed Chairs | 16 | 5 | 2 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Q30. What do you expect to offer as an average 9-month salary for appointment in the 2002-03 academic year?

| Senior Asst. Professor | $\$ 71,750$ |  | $\$ 56,667$ | $\$ 68,375$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Associate Professor | $\$ 96,643$ | $\$ 101,600$ | $\$ 65,625$ | $\$ 89,750$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 14 | 5 | 4 | 18 |
| Full Professor | $\$ 134,335$ | $\$ 137,167$ | $\$ 85,000$ | $\$ 129,015$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}=$ | 22 | 6 | 2 | 25 |


[^0]:    Questions and comments are welcome and may be addressed to:
    Katherine A. Deck
    Center for Business and Economic Research
    Donald W. Reynolds Center for Enterprise Development Room 219
    Sam M. Walton College of Business
    University of Arkansas
    Fayetteville, AR 72701
    E-mail: kdeck@walton.uark.edu
    (501) 575-4927 voice
    (501) 575-7687 fax

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Number of institutions responding, 157; number of institutions hiring, 87; number of hires, 148.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Top 30 represent a subset of the Ph.D. Degree Granting Institutions.

